Contribution ID: 64b8aaaf-df57-4ced-a263-51ea496e20b5

Date: 18/11/2022 12:03:16

Public Consultation on Critical Raw Materials Act

Fields marked with * are mandatory.	
-------------------------------------	--

Introduction

Critical raw materials are key to the green and digital transitions as well as to other EU priorities such as EU resilience and security. They are essential components of green technologies, are used in digital applications, and are crucial to the defence, aerospace and health industries. To secure a sustainable supply, the European Commission has had in place a dedicated strategy since 2008 and put forward an action plan in the 2020 Communication on Critical Raw Materials. Although the action plan is on track, the current context of growing global demand and increasing geopolitical instability creates the need for increased efforts.

In light of the need to reduce dependence on Russian fossil fuels and to speed up progress towards achieving climate neutrality by 2050 as set out by the European Green Deal, the REPowerEU communication announced the urgent acceleration of the energy transition. The European Council's Versailles Declaration of March 2022 called to secure EU supply of critical raw materials, particularly by building on the strengths of the Single Market. Similarly, the European Parliament called for an EU strategy for critical raw materials in its November 2021 resolution. The REPowerEU communication and the Joint Communication on the Defence Investment Gaps Analysis and Way Forward announced in May 2022 that actions, including by legislative means, will strengthen EU resilience and security of supply of critical raw materials.

Against this background, the President of the European Commission, Ursula von der Leyen, in her State of the Union speech, announced a European Critical Raw Materials Act, notably to identify strategic projects all along the value chain and to build up strategic reserves where supply is at risk. In parallel, the President announced the pursuit of supply diversification through Free Trade Agreements and new partnerships to advance the EU's vital interests and values. This includes ensuring undistorted trade and investment as well as developing industrial and cooperation actions with like-minded partners and resource rich countries.

This initiative will hence take the form of a package consisting of regulatory and non-regulatory actions.

The objective of the Act could be to reinforce the EU's monitoring capacity and help secure a sustainable supply of diverse critical raw materials. For that purpose, the initiative should seek to strengthen the European value chain through the identification of mineral resources and of critical raw materials projects in the European strategic interest, while ensuring a high level of environmental protection, including projects that promote a circular economy and resource efficiency through the uptake of secondary raw materials.

Further background can be found in the Call for Evidence.

This public consultation aims at offering the general public and relevant stakeholders the opportunity to provide input for a legislative proposal aimed at securing the EU's supply of critical raw materials. The responses, together with evidence gathered from different sources including desk research and other targeted consultations, will provide an analytical basis for the planned legislative proposal.

Scope:

- "Critical raw materials", when mentioned in the consultation, refers not only to the list of critical raw materials published in 2020, but can also include other strategic raw materials (e.g. copper).
 However, please note that energy raw materials (e.g. coal) and agricultural raw materials (e.g. wheat) do not fall under the scope of this consultation;
- "Critical raw materials projects", when mentioned in the consultation, refer to projects all along the critical raw materials value chain: they can include exploration, extraction, refining, processing or recycling activities.

The questionnaire is divided into the following parts:

- 1) About you
- 2) Challenges for securing sustainable supply of critical raw materials
- 3) Possible measures to ensure a secure and sustainable supply of critical raw materials

The deadline for replies is 25 November 2022.

You can send any additional information that you consider relevant to this consultation to the mailbox GROW-I1@ec.europa.eu, indicating 'public consultation critical raw materials' in the subject of your email.

Thank you for your cooperation.

About you

French

German

uage of my contribution
Bulgarian
Croatian
Czech
Danish
Dutch
English
Estonian
Finnish

	Greek
	Hungarian
	Irish
	Italian
	Latvian
	Lithuanian
	Maltese
	Polish
	Portuguese
	Romanian
	Slovak
	Slovenian
	Spanish
0	Swedish
*I am	giving my contribution as
	Academic/research institution
•	Business association
0	Company/business organisation
0	Consumer organisation
0	EU citizen
0	Environmental organisation
0	Non-EU citizen
	Non-governmental organisation (NGO)
	Public authority
	Trade union
0	Other
* First	name
Jo	han
*Surna	ame
Li	ndahl
*Emai	I (this won't be published)

lindahl@esmc.solar			
*Organisation name			
255 character(s) maximum			
European Solar Manufa	cturing Council		
*Organisation size			
Micro (1 to 9 en	nployees)		
Small (10 to 49)	employees)		
Medium (50 to 2	. ,		
Large (250 or m	,		
=a.go (=oo o	.0.0)		
Transparency registe 255 character(s) maximum			
influence EU decision-makin	·	<u>er</u> . It's a voluntary database fo	or organisations seeking to
421495541254-57			
121 1000 1120 1 07			
*Country of origin			
Please add your country of c	origin, or that of your organi	sation.	
T			
		uropean institutions with regar divergent lists and practices.	d to the legal status or policy
Afghanistan	Djibouti	Libya	Saint Martin
Åland Islands	Dominica	Liechtenstein	Saint Pierre and
			Miquelon
Albania	Dominican	Lithuania	Saint Vincent
	Republic		and the
			Grenadines
Algeria	Ecuador	Luxembourg	Samoa
American Samo		Macau	San Marino
Andorra	© El Salvador	Madagascar	São Tomé and
πιασιτα	Li Gaivaudi	iviauayascai	Príncipe

Equatorial Guinea Malawi

Malaysia

Maldives

Eritrea

Estonia

Angola

Anguilla

Antarctica

Saudi Arabia

Senegal

Serbia

Antigua and Barbuda	Eswatini	Mali	Seychelles
Argentina	Ethiopia	Malta	Sierra Leone
Armenia	Falkland Islands	Marshall Islands	Singapore
Aruba	Faroe Islands	Martinique	Sint Maarten
Australia	Fiji	Mauritania	Slovakia
Austria	Finland	Mauritius	Slovenia
Azerbaijan	France	Mayotte	Solomon Islands
Bahamas	French Guiana	Mexico	Somalia
Bahrain	French Polynesia	a Micronesia	South Africa
Bangladesh	French Southern	n Moldova	South Georgia
	and Antarctic		and the South
	Lands		Sandwich
			Islands
Barbados	Gabon	Monaco	South Korea
Belarus	Georgia	Mongolia	South Sudan
Belgium	Germany	Montenegro	Spain
Belize	Ghana	Montserrat	Sri Lanka
Benin	Gibraltar	Morocco	Sudan
Bermuda	Greece	Mozambique	Suriname
Bhutan	Greenland	Myanmar/Burma	a [©] Svalbard and
			Jan Mayen
Bolivia	Grenada	Namibia	Sweden
Bonaire Saint	Guadeloupe	Nauru	Switzerland
Eustatius and			
Saba			
Bosnia and	Guam	Nepal	Syria
Herzegovina			O - ·
Botswana	Guatemala	Netherlands	Taiwan
Bouvet Island	Guernsey	New Caledonia	Tajikistan
Brazil	Guiriea	New Zealand	Tanzania
British Indian	Guinea-Bissau	Nicaragua	Thailand
Ocean Territory	0 0	NI:	The Original 's
British Virgin	Guyana	Niger	The Gambia
Islands			

Brunei	0	Haiti	0	Nigeria	0	Timor-Leste
Bulgaria	0	Heard Island and McDonald Islands		Niue	0	Togo
Burkina Faso	0	Honduras	0	Norfolk Island	0	Tokelau
Burundi	0	Hong Kong	0	Northern	0	Tonga
		3 0		Mariana Islands		J
Cambodia		Hungary	0	North Korea		Trinidad and
						Tobago
Cameroon		Iceland		North Macedonia	0	Tunisia
Canada		India		Norway	0	Turkey
Cape Verde		Indonesia		Oman	0	Turkmenistan
Cayman Islands		Iran		Pakistan	0	Turks and
						Caicos Islands
Central African		Iraq		Palau	0	Tuvalu
Republic						
Chad		Ireland		Palestine	0	Uganda
Chile	0	Isle of Man	0	Panama	0	Ukraine
China	0	Israel	0	Papua New	0	United Arab
				Guinea		Emirates
Christmas Island	0	Italy	0	Paraguay	0	United Kingdom
Clipperton		Jamaica		Peru	0	United States
Cocos (Keeling)		Japan		Philippines	0	United States
Islands						Minor Outlying
						Islands
Colombia	0	Jersey	0	Pitcairn Islands	0	Uruguay
Comoros	0	Jordan	0	Poland	0	US Virgin Islands
Congo	0	Kazakhstan	0	Portugal	0	Uzbekistan
Cook Islands	0	Kenya	0	Puerto Rico	0	Vanuatu
Costa Rica	0	Kiribati	0	Qatar	0	Vatican City
Côte d'Ivoire	0	Kosovo	0	Réunion	0	Venezuela
Croatia	0	Kuwait	0	Romania	0	Vietnam
Cuba		Kyrgyzstan	0	Russia		Wallis and
					_	Futuna
Curaçao	0	Laos	0	Rwanda	0	Western Sahara
Cyprus		Latvia		Saint Barthélemy		Yemen

Czechia	Lebanon	Saint Helena Zambia
		Ascension and
		Tristan da Cunha
Democratic	Lesotho	Saint Kitts and Zimbabwe
Republic of the		Nevis
Congo		
Denmark	Liberia	Saint Lucia

The Commission will publish all contributions to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would prefer to have your details published or to remain anonymous when your contribution is published. Fo r the purpose of transparency, the type of respondent (for example, 'business association, 'consumer association', 'EU citizen') country of origin, organisation name and size, and its transparency register number, are always published. Your e-mail address will never be published. Opt in to select the privacy option that best suits you. Privacy options default based on the type of respondent selected

*Contribution publication privacy settings

The Commission will publish the responses to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would like your details to be made public or to remain anonymous.

Anonymous

Only organisation details are published: The type of respondent that you responded to this consultation as, the name of the organisation on whose behalf you reply as well as its transparency number, its size, its country of origin and your contribution will be published as received. Your name will not be published. Please do not include any personal data in the contribution itself if you want to remain anonymous.

Public

Organisation details and respondent details are published: The type of respondent that you responded to this consultation as, the name of the organisation on whose behalf you reply as well as its transparency number, its size, its country of origin and your contribution will be published. Your name will also be published.

I agree with the personal data protection provisions

Which of the following activities are performed by your company or the companies represented by your association (either in the past, presently, or potentially in the future)? (more than one choice is possible)

Exploration of critical raw materials (e.g. junior miners).

	Extraction of critical raw materials.
	Processing or refining of critical raw materials from primary sources.
	Trading of critical raw materials.
	Use of critical raw materials in manufacturing.
	Recovery and recycling of critical raw materials (production of secondary raw
	materials).
	Research and innovation on critical raw material (e.g. substitution).
	Processing or refining of critical raw materials from secondary sources.
1	My company / association is not involved in any of the activities listed above.

Please specify in your activities.

The European Solar Manufacturing Council represents 50 companies within the European PV manufacturing industry, from wafer to module production, equipment and research.

2) Challenges for securing sustainable supply of critical raw materials

General Challenges

To what extent do you agree with the following statements?

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree	I don't know / no opinion
a. The potential to extract critical raw materials from primary sources in the EU is currently underexploited.	•	0	•	•	•	•
b. The EU's processing and refining capacities for critical raw materials are currently insufficient.	•	0	0	0	•	•
c. The potential to re-use or recycle critical raw materials from waste is currently underexploited in the EU.	0	•	•	•	•	•
d. The potential to diversify the EU's external supply of critical raw materials is currently underexploited.	•	0	0	0	0	•

e. The potential to substitute critical raw materials is currently underexploited in the EU.	•	0	•	•	•	0
f. The potential to increase efficiency of critical raw materials use is currently underexploited in the EU.	0	0	•	•	0	•
g. Industry is not able to tackle critical raw materials sourcing challenges on the global market without further EU policy intervention.	•	•	0	•	•	•
h. Current EU policies on critical raw materials are insufficient to effectively manage supply chain disruptions, shortages and price hikes.	•	0	0	•	•	•

b. You agree or strongly agree that the EU's processing and refining capacities for critical raw materials are currently insufficient. Please specify for which critical raw material(s) this is the case.

Metallurgical silicon, polysilicon, wafers, silver and glass for the European PV industry

c. You agree or strongly agree that the potential to re-use or recycle critical raw materials from waste is currently underexploited in the EU. Please specify for which waste stream(s) this is the case.

PV modules and inverters

d. You agree or strongly agree that the potential to diversify the EU's external supply of critical raw materials is currently underexploited. Please specify for which critical raw material(s) this is the case.

The material supply, polysilicon, wafers and glass, for the global PV industry in almost exclusively controlled by one country, namely China, which in addition plays with prices to destroy any competition. European production is normally more sustainable, both with respect to social standards as well as environmental, but there is need for investments to reach the desired volumes.

Current EU Policies

To what extent do you agree that the **existing EU policy actions** contribute to secure the EU's supply of critical raw materials?

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree	I don't know / no opinion
a. Establishing and updating the critical raw materials list.	0	•	0	0	0	0
b. Developing the European Raw Materials Alliance.	•	0	0	0	0	0
c. Concluding Strategic partnerships with resource rich third countries.	0	•	0	0	0	0
d. Developing a transparent and stable trade framework for facilitating trade in raw materials, including through free trade agreements with resource rich third countries.	0	•	0	0	0	0
e. Funding research and innovation on resource efficiency, recycling and substitution of critical raw materials through Horizon Europe.	•	0	0	0	0	0
f. Developing and coordinating knowledge through research projects, such as the raw materials information system (https://rmis.jrc.ec.europa.eu/).	0	0	0	0	0	•

Supply chain vulnerability

Monitoring

To what extent do the following statements related to the monitoring of supply correctly describe the challenges in this field?

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree	I do not know / no opinion
a. Companies would benefit from public monitoring activities to inform them about risks of supply chains disruption.	0	•	0	0	0	•
b. Companies lack sufficient information on supply risks for them to take preventive measures.	0	•	0	0	•	•
c. Companies generally do not have in place contingency plans to deal with supply disruptions.	•	0	0	0	0	0
d. Member State authorities do not have in place sufficient monitoring mechanisms allowing them to assess supply risks and anticipate problems.	•	0	0	0	0	0
e. Member State authorities do not sufficiently cooperate and coordinate in monitoring critical raw materials supply chains.	•	0	0	0	0	0

EU value chain

To what extent do you agree that the following challenges affect the roll out of critical raw materials projects (mining, refining and recycling) in the EU?

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree	I do not know / no opinion
a. Difficulty to access private financing for critical raw materials projects.	•	0	0	•	•	0

b. Difficulty to access public funding in the EU for critical raw materials projects.	•	0	0	0	0	0
c. Lack of technical standards for processes along the critical raw materials value chain.	0	0	0	0	0	•
d. Fear of reputational damage for companies.	©	•	0	0	0	0
e. Lack of or limited public acceptance for new critical raw materials projects in EU.	•	0	0	0	0	0
f. Unfair competition from third countries.	•	0	0	0	0	0
g. Price volatility and unpredictability.	•	0	0	0	0	0
h. Lack of skilled workforce to design and operate critical raw materials projects.	0	•	0	0	0	0
i. Lack of available technologies to carry out critical raw materials projects in line with the required environmental standards.	0	•	0	•	0	•

If necessary, please further explain the consequences of the challenges encountered by critical raw materials projects, specifying for which stages of the value they apply.

You can also specify any additional challenge not listed in the previous question.

Solar PV is completely dependent on polysilicon and silver and highly dependent on glass. Technology changes are highly complex also due to quality standards that don't easily allow technology changes like the replacement of glass. Producing polysilicon or glass in Europe is doable but cost competitiveness is a challenge.

Permitting

Do you agree with the following statements regarding the permitting procedures for critical raw materials projects? Please specify which Member State or third country you refer to and for which type of projects (e.g. write "Country Y, recycling project" in the "Agree" box).

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree	I do not know / No opinion
a. National permitting						
requirements and						
processes are not						No opinion
clear for permitting						
applicants						
b. National permitting						
processes are too	Valid in most EU countries					
lengthy						
c. Permitting						
requirements on local,	Valid in most El Les untries					
regional and national	Valid in most EU countries					
level are too different						

Investment

In your opinion, what are the main reasons for which critical raw materials projects have difficult access to private finance?

- Length and complexity of the permitting process.
- Lack of support at the level of public financial institutions.
- Lack of business case due to unfair competition from third countries.
- Lack of business case due to uncertain profitability caused by price volatility and an unstable market environment.
- Reputational risks linked to social and/or sustainability concerns.
- Higher production cost in the EU.
- Other reasons.

Please specify for which stage(s) of the value chain this challenge applies (exploration, extraction, processing/refining or recycling)

In case of the PV industry, China is subsidizing their polysilicon industry in all of the steps, exploration, extraction, processing/refining by cheap/free land, subsidized loan rates, utility subsidies on electricity and water, relaxed labor laws and no input credits. We also would need a production dedicated to the technologies we want to sell, not the technologies the Chinese produce.

European production is normally more sustainable, both with respect to social standards as well as environmental issues. The industry in EU is still not mature, and need help to establish. EU normally focus on R&D funding, but incentives to have a more levelized playing field are essential.

Circularity

To what extent do you agree with the following statement regarding the circularity of critical raw materials projects in the EU?

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree	I do not know / No opinion
a. EU product legislation does not sufficiently incentivize the use of secondary raw materials in products.	0	•	•	•	•	•
b. EU waste legislation does not sufficiently incentivise the recovery and recycling of raw materials.	0	0	0	•	0	0

c. Shipments of waste containing critical raw materials between Member States are difficult, which limits possibilities for viable recycling projects.	•	0	0	•	•	•
d. Export of waste containing critical raw materials increases the shortage of critical raw materials in the EU and limits potential for circularity.	•	0	•	•	•	•

3) Possible measures to ensure a secure and sustainable supply of critical raw materials

Strengthening the monitoring, risk management and governance for critical raw materials supply chain in Europe

To strengthen the resilience of the supply chains of critical raw materials in the EU, new tools could be developed. To what extent do you agree that the following possible measures can help strengthen supply chain resilience?

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree	I do not know / No opinion
a. Enhanced cooperation between national authorities or agencies in charge of critical raw materials (data exchange, common monitoring, etc.).	•	•	0	•	•	•
b. A dedicated EU-wide governance capacity tasked with monitoring actions and strengthening the EU value chain.	•	0	•	•	0	0
c. Better access for companies to monitoring information at EU or at national level to enable them to identify vulnerabilities in their supply chains.	•	•	0	•	•	0
d. Periodic supply chain stress testing of selected critical raw materials at EU level to better anticipate supply chain disruptions.	0	•	0	•	0	0

e. Early warnings by public authorities of imminent vulnerability of a supply chain.	0	•	0	0	•	0
f. Provisions to increase strategic stocks of critical raw materials at EU, national or industry level.	•	0	0	•	•	0

If you have suggestion of other measures to strengthen the resilience of the EU critical raw materials supply chains, please specify.

use trade defense mechanisms faster when needed without the need for companies to raise complaints

To ensure a better monitoring of critical raw materials, it would be useful for public authorities to monitor more closely:

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree	I do not know / No opinion
a. Prices of critical raw materials.	0	•	0	0	0	0
b. Demand developments at national, European and global levels.	•	0	0	0	•	0
c. Supply developments of critical raw materials (including forecasting).	•	0	0	•	•	0
d. Trade flows of critical raw materials.	•	0	0	0	0	0
e. Progress of important critical raw materials projects in the EU.	•	0	0	0	0	0

If you have suggestions of other areas to monitor, please specify.

scan Horizon Europe potential to identify future raw materials concerns	

Does your organisation (or organisations you represent) have any strategic stocks of critical raw materials?

- Yes
- No
- I do not know / Not applicable

How do you consider that efforts to increase strategic stocks should be organised?
Mandatory storage or strategic stocks by industry.
Mandatory storage or strategic stocks by Member States' public bodies.
EU level guidance on voluntary storage or strategic stocks to be organised at
national level, as appropriate (by public and/or private actors).
Storage or strategic stocks by an EU level body through joint procurement.
EU level guidance on rationing/redistributing stocks.
EU rules for mandatory redistribution of stocks in view of supply and demand.
Other.

Please provide any qualitative and/or quantitative information to explain your choice (s) as regards strategic stocks. Specifically, what would be the cost/burden for you and/or your organisation?

Asking the PV industry to constitute stocks would be a major financial burden in an already super competitive industry.

Strengthening the critical raw materials supply chain in Europe

To what extent do you agree that the following policy options could help strengthen the EU critical raw materials value chain?

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree	I do not know / No opinion
a. A specific list of raw materials of strategic importance for the EU (and thus deserving priority attention) to guide investment decisions of companies and financial institutions.	•	•	•	•	•	•
b. A specific list of raw materials of strategic importance for the EU (and thus deserving priority attention) to target public support at EU and national level.	•	0	0	•	0	0

c. Setting EU objectives for increasing domestic production capacity along different stages of the value chain (exploration, extraction, refining, recycling) to guide national and European efforts (i.e. in relation to the materials on the list mentioned under a.).	•	©	©	©	©	©
d. Identifying Strategic Projects across the critical raw materials value chain with strong environmental and social performance and contributing to reducing EU dependencies.	•	•	•	•	•	0
e. Ensuring that these Strategic Projects benefit from streamlined and quicker permitting procedures.	•	0	•	•	•	•
f. Ensuring that these Strategic Projects benefit from easier access to public and private finance.	•	0	0	0	0	0
g. Ensuring that Strategic Projects and Partnerships initiatives outside of the EU also benefit from de-risking if they comply with social and environmental standards and can contribute to securing EU supply.	•	•	•	•	•	•

Investment

To what extent do you agree that the following policy options would facilitate access to finance for critical raw materials projects?

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree	I do not know / No opinion
--	-------------------	-------	---------	----------	----------------------	--

a. Public support to bring together downstream users and project promoters to facilitate the conclusion of long-term supply contracts and offtake agreements.	©	•	0	•	•	0
b. Target existing EU funds that have the possibility to finance critical raw materials projects of particular strategic relevance identified under the future legislation.	•	•	•	•	•	•
c. Increased financial support from national and European promotional banks for critical raw materials projects.	•	0	0	0	0	0
d. A dedicated financial platform to de-risk critical raw materials projects that meet certain requirements (e.g., high environmental and social standards, while contributing to EU supply) by blending private, national and EU funding.	•	•	©	©	©	•
e. Explore opportunities offered by the existing State aid rules for critical raw materials projects, such as Important Projects of Common European Interest, State aid Framework for research, development and innovation, Regional Aid Guidelines, Guidelines on State aid for Climate, Environmental protection and Energy or relevant State aid General Block Exemption Regulation provisions.	•		•	©	©	•
f. Support a level playing field to promote transparency and good governance with partner countries, aiming to gather sustainable and responsible investments and partnerships in the sector.	•	•	•	©	©	•

Do you foresee any other option to facilitate access to finance for critical raw materials projects?

Strengthen the European manufacturing industries that uses the critical raw materials, so that there is a strong home market for these actors. For this, investments to reach the desired volumes is needed.

Sustainability

Would you agree that products containing critical raw materials should be accompanied with information on the environmental footprint of producing those materials?

Strongly agreeAgreeNeutralDisagreeStrongly disagree

I do not know / No opinion

Would you agree that products containing critical raw materials should be accompanied with information on the ethical sourcing of those materials?

- Strongly agree
- Agree
- Neutral
- Disagree
- Strongly disagree
- I do not know / No opinion

Which measures would you propose to increase resource efficiency and reduce the pressure on primary raw material consumption?

Regulations that set standards for quality and long life-time of products that contain critical raw materials

Substitution of critical raw materials is an important way to mitigate the demand needs. Which actions should be further pursued to develop substitution?

- Strengthened support for EU research and innovation funding on substitution.
- Strengthened cooperation across Member States on the research for substitution.
- Strengthened public-private cooperation on the deployment of substitution alternatives.

V	Strengthened private-private cooperation (horizontal or vertical) on the
	development of substitution alternatives (in accordance with competition law
	and parameters).

	_			
	\sim	ᆂЬ		
_	()	пn	ıeı	r

Which measures	or techniques	would cont	ribute to I	lower the	environmental	impact
of extraction?						

Circularity

Would the following measures help develop the market for secondary raw materials in the EU, hence lowering the pressure on demand for primary raw materials?

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree	I do not know / no opinion
a. Developing targets for waste operators related to the recycling efficiency of critical raw materials from different waste streams (electronics, vehicles, etc.).	0	•	0	•	•	0
b. Developing targets for waste operators related to the material recovery of critical raw materials from different waste streams (electronics, vehicles, mining waste etc.).	©	•	©	•	•	•
c. Requiring Member State to design waste management plans including specific measures to promote the recovery of critical raw materials, from historical mining waste.	0	•	0	©	©	©
d. Setting design requirements for products containing critical raw materials to increase their ease of dismantling and recycling.	0	•	0	0	0	0
e. Setting minimum content of recycled critical raw materials in relevant products.	0	0	0	•	0	0

f. Setting design requirements for products containing critical raw materials to increase the length of product life.	•	•	•	•	•	•
g. Strengthening support for EU research and innovation funding on recycling and material recovery technologies for critical raw materials.	•	•	0	•	•	•
h. Strengthening exploration and documentation of critical raw materials in secondary deposits and waste streams.	0	•	0	0	0	•

Are there any other policy options that would facilitate the circularity of critical raw materials?

- 1	

International dimension

Securing the EU supply of critical raw materials implies further diversification of EU sourcing, given the present high concentration of origin of EU imports for many critical raw materials. The EU action plan on critical raw materials adopted in 2020 includes an action to develop partnerships with resource rich countries, with the aim of ensuring diversification of sourcing. The EU has already concluded Strategic Partnerships with third countries (with Canada and Ukraine) to further integrate the partner countries' supply chain with the EU and is negotiating others.

In parallel, the EU has a vast network of trade agreements with important disciplines on export restrictions, investment facilitation etc. In addition, some finalised agreements (e.g. with UK) and ongoing negotiations (e.g. with Chile, Australia) include additional provisions on Energy and Raw materials in dedicated chapters.

To what extent do you agree with the following statements?

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree	I do not know / No opinion
a. The EU lacks a strategy for increasing the diversification of EU industry supplies from third countries.	•	0	•	•	•	•

b. The potential to extract critical raw materials from primary sources in third countries is currently underexploited.	0	0	•	•	•	•
c. The global processing and refining capacities for critical raw materials are currently insufficient.	•	0	0	0	0	•
d. The exchanges and cooperation between Third Countries and EU/ Member States authorities on critical raw materials (data exchange, common monitoring, etc.) are not sufficient.	•	•	•	•	•	•
e. The extraction of critical raw materials from primary sources in third countries contributes to environmental degradation.	•	0	0	0	0	•

To what extent do you agree that the following challenges affect the roll out of critical raw materials projects (mining, refining and recycling) in third countries?

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree	I do not know / No opinion
a. Difficulty to access private financing for critical raw materials projects.	0	•	0	0	0	0
b. Difficulty to access public funding in third countries for critical raw materials projects.	•	0	0	0	0	0
c. Difficulty or undue delay to receive a permit for a critical raw materials project.	0	0	0	0	0	•
d. Lack of technical standards for processes along the critical raw materials value chain.	0	0	0	0	0	•
e. Fear of reputational damage for companies.	0	0	0	0	0	•

f. Lack of or limited public acceptance for new critical raw materials projects in third countries.	0	0	•	•	•	•
g. Unfair local competition from third countries.	•	0	0	0	0	0
h. Price volatility and unpredictability.	0	•	0	0	0	0
i. Lack of skilled workforce to design and operate critical raw materials projects.	0	0	0	•	0	•
j. Lack of available technologies to carry out critical raw materials projects in line with the required environmental standards.	0	0	0	•	0	•

What are the underlying reasons for supply chains disturbances and supply constraints of raw materials?

- Insufficient global production.
- Increased geopolitical tensions.
- Market manipulation.
- Volatile trading conditions.
- Untransparent market mechanisms.
- Other.

To what extent do you agree that the following policy statements can help support the EU's global efforts to secure critical raw materials?

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree	I do not know / No opinion
a. The EU should strengthen the ongoing strategic partnerships on critical raw materials and conclude additional ones with resource rich third countries	•	•	•	•	•	•

b. Such partnerships should rely on clearly established pillars, such as the integration of raw materials value chain, research and innovation cooperation and alignment on environmental, social, and governance (ESG) criteria and standards.	©	©	©	©	©	•
c. Partnerships on raw materials value chains with developing countries need to include a pillar for capacity building, skills and training and one for deploying infrastructure.	•	•	0	•	•	•
d. The EU should diversify its global supply through its free-trade agreements and trade relations.	0	•	•	•	0	•
e. The provisions (on investment, licencing and standardization) in dedicated Energy and Raw materials Chapters in the latest trade agreements have the necessary disciplines to unlock the potential in opening access and encouraging investment in raw materials.	•	•	•	•	•	•

If you have suggestions on other instruments that should be used to diversify the EU's global supply, please specify.

Which of the following barriers, often adopted by third countries, have the most trade distorting effect on your business?

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree	I do not know/ no opinion
a. Export taxes.	•	0	0	0	0	0
b. Export licencing.	0	0	•	0	0	0
c. Export pricing (dual pricing, minimum export prices).	•	0	0	0	0	0

d. Denial of VAT tax rebates when exporting.	0	0	0	0	0	•
e. Restrictions on customs clearance points for exports.	0	0	0	0	0	•
f. Export monopolies / qualified exporters lists.	•	0	0	0	0	0
g. Domestic market obligations.	•	0	0	0	0	0
h. Other trade barriers.	0	0	0	0	0	•

Skills

A skilled workforce is indispensable to the strengthening of the EU value chain. In your opinion, which public action (at local, national or European levels) could help tackle the skills challenge of the EU raw materials value chain?

Facilitate visa and work permits for non-EU citizens. Current processes are complex and time consuming.

Please specify from the possible measures mentioned in this consultation the ones

with the likely highest impact on your organization, and specify, possibly

Impacts

quantitatively, the potential costs and/or benefits. Costs and benefits can relate example, to administrative or financial burden or improved business opportuniti	
If you wish to share any further information or opinion on the one of topics raise	d
above, please do so below:	

To share information relevant to this survey, please upload your file(s).

Only files of the type pdf,txt,doc,docx,odt,rtf are allowed

Thank you for the time taken to participate to this survey.

Contact

Grow-i1@ec.europa.eu